Attorney Docket No. 53000 PCT US

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANTS: Blum, D. et al. CONFIRMATION NO.: 3205

APPLICATION NO.: 10/598,366 GROUP NO.: 1782

FILING DATE: 12/20/2006 EXAMINER: Kashnikow, Erik

TITLE: CONDOM WITH WARMTH IMPARTING LUBRICANT

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW

In accordance with the requirements of MPEP 713.04, the Applicants submit the following Statement of the Substance of the Interview in connection with the in-person interview which occurred on June 30, 2011 with Examiner Kashnikow. Applicants believe no fees are due with this filing. In the event that fees are due, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge them to Attorney's Deposit Account No. 50-2678. Applicants respectfully request entry of this Statement of the Substance of the Interview, in which:

Remarks begin on page 2.

Statement of the Substance of the Interview

Application No.: 10/598,366

REMARKS

Applicants thank the Examiner for his time and consideration during the Examiner-initiated telephonic interview of June 30, 2011. Applicants note that the Examiner mailed an Interview Summary (PTOL-413) on July 13, 2011. As required by MPEP § 713.04, Applicants respectfully supplement the Examiner's summary with the information provided below.

- (A) <u>A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted:</u>

 N/A
- (B) <u>Identification of the claims discussed:</u>Claims 1, 8, 11 and 13 were discussed during the interview.
- (C) <u>Identification of specific prior art discussed:</u>
 N/A
- (D) Identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed:

The principal amendments proposed by the Examiner and discussed during the interview are described in the Notice of Allowability (mailed on July 13, 2011), at pages 2 and 3.

(E) The general thrust of the principal arguments of the Applicant and the Examiner should also be identified:

No arguments were put forth during the interview.

- (F) <u>A general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed:</u>No other pertinent matters were discussed.
- (G) <u>If appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview:</u>

The amendments described in the Notice of Allowability (mailed on July 13, 2011), at pages 2 and 3 were agreed upon.

(H) <u>In the case of an interview via electronic mail, a paper copy of the Internet e-mail</u> contents MUST be made and placed in the patent application file as required by the Federal Statement of the Substance of the Interview

Application No.: 10/598,366

Records Act in the same manner as an Examiner Interview Summary Form, PTOL 413, is entered:

N/A

Applicants respectfully request entry of this paper in the record pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.2 and 1.133 and MPEP § 713.04.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: August 15, 2011 /Jennifer A. Camacho, Reg. No. 43,526/

Jennifer A. Camacho, Reg. No. 43,526

Attorney for the Applicants Greenberg Traurig, LLP One International Place Boston, MA 02110

Tel. No.: (617) 310-6075 Fax No.: (617) 279-8435